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Abstract
The difference between the English concept of nature and its Japanese translation, ‘shizen’ 「自然」 will be pursued here. Two kinds of words existed in the Middle English period for what we now assign the word ‘nature.’ One was the Anglo-Saxon derived word, ‘kynde’ (also spelt as ‘cunde’ or ‘kinde’), and the other was Latinate ‘nature’, which was introduced through French into the English language around the mid 13th century. Throughout the Middle English period, the use and the meaning of these two words were confused, and ‘kynde’ and ‘nature’ were used indiscriminately with a variety of meanings. This paper examines in detail the works of fourteenth-century writers, seeking to clarify the conceptual differences lying between the English / Latinate words and their Japanese translation.
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Introduction

The English word nature was first used as a translation for shizen during the Meiji period of Japanese history (1868-1912). However, it is well known that, at that time, the meanings of the two words melded, which invited literary confusion. Indeed, the Japanese-Japanese Dictionary Konjien distinguishes between shizen in the traditional sense, and shizen as the translation for nature. Yanabu Akira cites this schism and, regarding whether or not this difference in meaning is in human agency, says:

The [Japanese language] traditional shizen is the antithesis of human artifice: they are not alike. In other words, that which is shizen is not artificial. On the other hand, nature both opposes and conforms with human art (Kunst), indeed, the two concepts complement each other.
If this explanation is correct, it seems likely that the following definitions given for the modern Japanese shizen stem from the traditional Japanese sense and the English word nature, respectively: (1) ‘Mountains, rivers, grass, trees, the world of all those things which do not include humans and those things which humans have made,’ (2) ‘Everything between heaven and earth, including humans. The cosmos.’ (Daijisen Dictionary, First Edition, 1998, emphasis added).

Before shizen came to be the translation for nature, the word zouka was used to indicate all of creation. Zouka means ‘the power to create the universe’ as well as ‘to create and nurture all that which exists,’ and can be considered to be very close to the ancient Greek term physis and its Latin counterpart nātūra. These Ancient/Classical notions of nature were influenced by the Christianity-dominated Middle Ages, followed by the perspective of nature formulated in the 17th century scientific revolution and the later reaction in the form of the Romantic movement. However, in this paper, I wish to investigate using as the focal point the Middle English period with its Ancient/Classical European heritage. I will take as the target of the investigation the 14th-century Piers Plowman (c. 1380) written by the Anglo-Saxon-influenced William Langland, and the works of his contemporary, the continentally influenced Geoffrey Chaucer, and analyse the meaning of the words which translate to shizen, namely, nature and kynde, using the Oxford English Dictionary (OED), the Middle English Dictionary (MED), and various dictionaries and concordances.

I . The Middle English words Nature and Kynde

Although Middle English had two words kynde and nature which meant shizen; kynde has Germanic roots, while nature entered English from Latin by way of French. According to the OED, nature is only rarely seen before the 14th century. On the other hand, after King Alfred's 9th century translations of Boethius in which kynde was used to mean ‘the world of nature’ and ‘innate character’, during the Middle English period it came to acquire many definitions and was frequently used. From the OED, the MED and various concordances, we can see that in the latter half of the 14th century, kynde and nature had significantly overlapping definitions, after which time nature gradually supplanted kynde. In Boece — Chaucer's English translation of an originally Latin work of Boethius — nature in the sense of ‘the innate character of people and things’ frequently appears. Furthermore, the word nātūra in Alain de Lille’s De Planctu Naturae and Nature from the originally French Le Roman de la Rose were translated into English as ‘Goddess Nature’, attributing ‘reproduction’ and ‘natural procreative activities’ to the female form. On the other hand, Chaucer uses both the words nature and kynde to signify ‘the natural world’ and ‘the cosmos’, while Langland solely uses kynde to signify ‘innate nature’ and ‘the natural world’. In contrast to nature’s Latin
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derivation, kynde entered Middle English through the removal of the ġe prefix from the Old English ġecyn. Therefore, the former, based in Latinate and Francophone cultures, differs from the latter with its Anglo-Saxon origin. Chaucer’s use of both nature and kynde and Langland’s exclusive use of kynde reflects the difference between Chaucer who was steeped in the romance language poetry of the continent, and Langland who, with his use of the traditional Anglo-Saxon alliterative verse, remained in that cultural sphere of influence.

Such is the Middle English kynde. The MED lists the following definitions under the headword kynde: ‘Inherent qualities or properties’, ‘the natural disposition or temperament of a person or animal’ ‘Natural constitution of a person, man’s physical strength’ ‘the natural form, shape, etc.’ ‘Action natural, habitual or customary; the natural or proper manner of doing something’ ‘the universe, creation; the physical world; natural physical phenomena’ ‘nature as a source of living thing or a regulative force operating in the material world; God, the Creator’ ‘A tribe; clan, family’ ‘Parentage, lineage, ancestry, stock; birth’ ‘the station or rank one is born into; territory or possessions by right of inheritance, rightful heritage; hereditary possession’ ‘Progeny, descendants; an offspring’ ‘sex’. According to an etymological dictionary, kynde is related to the Old English cynn (Modern English ‘kin’) which denotes the blood relationships ‘clan’, ‘tribe’, and ‘descendants’. Cynn derives from the Indo-European root *gen- which, similarly to nātūra, means ‘to flourish and produce offspring’ and is in the same word family as the Latin word genus which denotes ‘posterity’ and ‘birth’. If we exclude the definitions which kynde inherited from the Old English cynn, the remaining definitions generally overlap with those of the Modern English nature. The antithesis of man-made artifacts and nature is based in the Christian hierarchical valuation of God-Man-Nature, which itself is the basis of the idea of nature created so that humans could utilise it. If we sort the meanings of kynde based on the three categories of God, humans, and nature, we obtain the groups: 1) ‘nature/ the creator/ God who brings forth life’, 2) ‘the natural disposition or temperament of a person, habitual action, and man’s physical strength’ and ‘the natural instincts and desires of mankind’ and 3) ‘the universe, creation, and natural phenomena’. We will now investigate the relationship that Chaucer and Langland had with these three categories, and see if their usage of kynde and nature includes or excludes humans.

II. Kynde in Piers Plowman

In Piers Plowman, Kynde and kynde signify the Creator of the Universe and all his works. One can say that this view of kynde as God is a more advanced view than nātūra indicating that which is under God. Let us examine the story in sequence. As the protagonist Will wanders in search of the answer to his question “How can the soul
be saved?” he encounters a variety of abstract ideas as characters. In Passus 9 of the B-text, in response to Will’s question, “Where lies the ‘benevolence’ that is necessary for the soul to be saved?” Wit responds, “It lives within humans, which Kynde has made with the four elements (wind, water, fire and earth).” In other words, Kynde caused the soul to dwell therein, and Wit is explaining the nature of Kynde, the creator who furnished humans with body and soul. Furthermore, when Will asks, “Who is Kynde?” Wit replies, “Kynde is the creator of all things, God.”

What kynnes þyng is kynde?’ quod I. ‘Kanstow me telle?’
‘Kynde,’ quod Wit, ‘is creator of alle kynnes þynges.
Fader and famour of al þat euere was maked —
And þat is þe grete God þat gynnyng hadde neuere.
(underline added for emphasis, B.9.25-28)

“What kind of being is Kind?” I asked. ‘Can you tell me?’ ‘Kind’, replied Wit, ‘is the one who created every kind of thing in the world. He is the father and shaper of everything ever made.

He is the mighty God, who never had a beginning…”

In a dream, Kynde takes Will to a mountain called Middle Earth (the present world), and from its summit shows him a panoramic view of the natural world. There, animals of many kinds and colours are paired with a companion (‘make’, B.11.327), and through the ‘course of nature’ (‘a cours of kynde’) (B.3.56), the species were maintained.

I seig þe sonne and þe see and þe sond after.
And where þat brides and beestes by hir make þei yeden,
Wilde wormes in wodes, and wonderful foweles
Wiþ fleckede feþeres and of fele colours. (B.11.326-29)

I saw the sun, and the sea, and the land, and the places where birds and animals went with their mates, wild serpents in the woods, and astonishing birds with spotted plumage of variegated hues.

The world of Middle Earth as depicted in Passus 11-13 is a marvellous, miraculous world of nature created by a god called Kynde, who as the source of all life pours out his love and indeed is love itself (”Kynde...is loue”) (C.10.168-69). Kynde here has the meanings of the essential nature granted by God to all of existence (including humans)
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and of natural instinct including sexual desire.

However, in Middle Earth, also seen are humans who live a questionable existence separated from the animals with their natural reproductive process. Although they live alongside the other living things in Middle Earth, the humans are separated from them for the reason that “they lack the sense to act in a natural manner” (11.369-70). During the conversation with the embodiment of the transcendental order of the universe, Reason, when Will asks, “Why does evil exist in the natural world?” Reason explains that humans are made to obey their true ‘nature’ (kynde) and they cannot escape that. Within this phenomenal world which is constantly in flux, the decrees of humans are uncertain at best. This point is stressed in the last section, passus 20, in which the army of the antichrist attacks mankind at the end of the world. Let us take a closer look at this attack on humanity. Kynde arrives accompanied by Disease, Old Age, and Death, and indiscriminately slaughters great numbers of people. Faced with the rapidly approaching Old Age and Death, Will entreaties Kynde to extend a saving hand. At this point Kynde approaches and admonishes Will. ‘Just learn the art of love,’ thus pointing him towards virtue. Kynde says to Will that since death is inevitable to humans, in order to accept it as a natural process, one must patiently learn the art of love as there is no other path than to devote oneself to nature / God. When Kynde points out the inevitability of death, he is pointing out how reproduction, birth, propagation, and fertility which are aspects of growth and generation, as well as old age, illness and death, are all facets of nature.

There are multiple bases for Langland’s notion of Kynde and kynde. The ancient Latin world Nătūra which ‘gives birth to, fattens, and rears’ creation was inherited by the Middle Ages and was the world of Goddess Nature as developed by the aforementioned Alain de Lille. However, it is simultaneously a pantheistic nature and neo-Platonic universe as created by the Christian God, filled with His energy, and in which He dwells. In this world, the main point is that God, humans, and nature are linked in the ‘chain of existence’. Furthermore, both the natural world of Nătūra and the heaven and earth created by the Christian God are filled with species. In the latter half of the 14th century, of these concepts, kynde was absorbed into nature. As its name suggests, Middle Earth is the realm of real life existing between the heavens and the earth. This includes all species, and is the world of Kynde which encompasses the creation of the universe, growth and decay, good and evil. Within, humans and their erring deeds are given permission to exist as one facet of nature.

III. Kynde and nature in Chaucer

As mentioned earlier, Chaucer uses both kynde and nature to signify the cosmos and the natural world. In the following example, kynde is used to mean the cosmos.
"O God—thou madest kynde.
Shall I noon other weyres dye?

‘God,’ I thought, ‘Who made all beings of every sort! Is there no other way to die?’

(emphasis added, *House of Fame*, 584-5)\(^\text{13}\)

In *kynde* (=universe) as depicted by Chaucer in *Boece*, humans, as in *Piers Plowman*, are fashioned from the four elements, and are tinged with the planets associated with each. This is the neo-Platonic concept of the universe which was dominant in the Middle Ages. This is also an ancient/classical pagan world where creation is linked by a “bond of Love” (*Troilus and Criseyde*, III, 1765-67). This *nature* has its origin in an unchanging mover, and is corrupted and destroyed in its extremities.\(^\text{14}\)

For nature hath nat taken his bigynnyng
Of no partie or cantel of a thyng,
But of a thyng that parfit is and stable,
Descendynge so til it be corrumpable.

(emphasis added, *Knight’s Tale*, 3007-3010)

The passage means ‘Nature does not simply have its beginning as part of a thing, but has its beginning in an unchanging source which in the end rots away.’\(^\text{15}\) In the neo-Platonic Universe, humans exist in the chain of existence from God. In the abundant nature of the park in Chaucer’s *Parliament of Fowls*, medicinal herbs and other beneficial species are abundantly portrayed (ll. 176-82), and we perceive that the human point of view is included.\(^\text{16}\) This natural world has the meaning of Nätür from *De Planctu Naturae*, as an anthropomorphic being of the hierarchy of nature (*scala naturae*) brimming with every species and including humans and human deeds. Nature as a goddess rules, and within the lush green world there is a timeless enclosed garden of paradise which is contrasted with the outside world which is subject to time. In the garden is the hall of Venus, wherein the captivating goddess herself reclines *au nature l* upon a bed, and one of the walls is covered with the stories of men and women in the last moments of their untimely deaths. The hall of Venus points out the ideal form of a man-made building and the female form, and the enclosed garden points out the idealised, still tableaux. The former represents the mutability of human beauty and love, while the latter represents the immutable and unchanging world of *nature* that is the garden of Creation. Although the unfruitful, barren love of the men and women described on the wall of the hall of Venus is contrasted with the reproductive love of birds spread across the fields of nature, as the location of the hall of Venus inside the
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garden suggests, this captures only one aspect of nature. In other words, whether or not Chaucer’s ‘natural world’ is idealised, it nonetheless includes humans and human actions. Although the state of human reproductive activities separates them from other living things, they are yet a part of nature.

Although Chaucer translates the goddess of nature as ‘Plaint of Kynde’ (emphasis added), this Kynde has the meaning of ‘the natural instinct with which all humans, animals, and plants are furnished’, especially ‘reproduction’ (MED, 5a), and as the symbol of such, she stimulates the reproductive love of all the kinds of birds. This meaning of kynde, especially the natural activities of the birds and beasts, was used in the obscene imitations of the French literary genre fabriaux, which were put on stage. For example, in The Miller’s Tale, two lusty men attempt to carry out their urges on the beautiful wife of an old carpenter, but their actions based in animal instinct are extremely vulgar. The motive for the two young men is the instincual sexual desire that animals are furnished with. In this sense of Kynde/kynde as well, humans and animals are not distinguished.

As seen above, Chaucer’s nature and kynde are influenced by Boethius’ ‘cosmos’. On the Earth, one of the numerous planets in the Universe, mankind is at the head of the ‘chain of being’ which is brimming with all the species of the world of nature. Either way, humans cannot be separated from nature.

IV. Natural law and Humans

The Japanese word shizenhou (=the law of nature) is defined as:

Based in the essential nature or reason of humans, the law which, as the unchanging law passed through every era and which had ought to be universally upheld, transcends positive law.17

This is a concept imported from of the Western idea of ‘natural law’. Natural law in modern English is, in contrast to the positive law made by humans, the order of nature, and it includes both the law governing nature and the law governing human society.18 This definition goes back to the Roman era ius naturale19 which was expressed as law of kynde in Middle English and came to mean ‘the various laws that govern the natural world’ (MED, 7c), and further came to mean ‘based in nature and reason, the ethically binding law in society’ (MED, 5b), which became the modern English terms ‘the law(s) of nature’ and ‘natural law’. Following the above indicted definitions from the MED, the law of kynde in Piers Plowman is governed by reason. Anima is described as being “against heavenly reason for mankind, save Christ, to know everything.”

7
‘It were ayeins kynde,··· and alle kynnes reson’
That any creature sholde konne al, except Crist oone.

(emphasis added, B.15.52-53)

That it would be completely contrary to nature, and totally at variance with reason, for anyone other than God to know everything!

Langland uses the phrase law of kynde in this sense twice in the B-text and four times in the C-text, whereas Chaucer uses it twice in his collected works. In either case, it has the meaning of the earlier discussed ‘natural moral law’. Since the definition of ‘law of nature’ in the OED is given ‘as implanted by nature in the human mind, or as capable of being demonstrated by reason’, it can be seen as the ‘reason’ which governs the cosmos, the natural world, and the human body and mind. The ‘microcosmos’ of humans mirrors the ordered ‘macrocosmos’ of the universe and the natural world and is governed by them.

The idea of Langland’s law of Nature (Kynde) is considered to have derived from the writings of Giles of Rome and Thomas Aquinas which were well read at that time. They believed that the divine order of the natural world should be emulated by humans, and considered it a technique of governance. In the same tradition as Piers Plowman is Mum and the Sothsegger, in which the poet sees the flowers blooming in profusion, the grain ripening abundantly, and the natural world overflowing with animals, and says:

A swete sight for souurayns, so me God help. (931)
A sweet sight for sovereigns, so help me God.

Chaucer’s law of kynde (Book of the Duchess, 56) is again the ethical system of the ‘golden era’ dawn of civilisation which was followed naturally rather than through coercion.

Next, let us consider phrases which begin with kynde (with the meaning of law of kynde) as an adjective. In the B-text of Piers Plowman, the phrase ‘kynde wit’ is used 25 times, and ‘kynde knowing’ is used 6 times. Kynde wit is the non-rational ‘intuitive intelligence’, and kynde knowing is ‘intuitive capacity for comprehension’. In particular, they refer to the intuitive ability to understand the true essence of things. In the 14th century, kynde wit was considered to be the especially important factor which maintained the community and protected the common good. We might guess that this was the case in the prologue which depicted a political community, where Langland, through his narrator, holds kynde wit in great importance for training intelligent advisers to the king.
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Conclusion

From the previous investigation, we understand that in the meanings of kynde, nature and law of Nature (Kynde) as used by Chaucer and Langland, mankind is governed by kynde, and as a sub-portion thereof is connected to it. During the 14th-century roots of the word nature as used by Chaucer and Langland, although there was the idea of nature being used by and benefitting humankind, as Yanabu points out, human works were still part of the same idea. In modern English, though there are expressions like ‘preserve nature’ and ‘a struggle against nature’ which clearly pit humans and nature in a subject versus object relationship, outside of Christianity, the ideas of Nāṭūra, the pantheistic view of nature and neo-Platonism were also absorbed by the Middle English Kynde, kynde, and nature, wherein even if humans and nature are at odds, they still complement each other to create a whole-world concept.

This manuscript is based upon an oral presentation given in June 2003 at the 32nd meeting of the Japan Society of English Usage and Style held at Kansai University. This paper is an English version of the paper published in English Usage and Style, No.21 (2004).
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